Introduction to Logic and Automata Theory

Radu Iosif
Verimag/CNRS (Grenoble, France)

Ensuring Correctness of Hw/Sw Systems

- Uses logic to specify correctness properties, e.g.:
 - the program never crashes
 - the program always terminates
 - every request to the server is eventually answered
 - the output of the tree balancing function is a tree, provided the input is also a tree ...
- Given a logical specification, we can do either:
 - VERIFICATION: prove that a given system satisfies the specification
 - SYNTHESIS: build a system that satisfies the specification

Approaches to Verification

- THEOREM PROVING: reduce the verification problem to the satisfiability of a logical formula (entailment) and invoke an off-the-shelf theorem prover to solve the latter
 - Floyd-Hoare checking of pre-, post-conditions and invariants
 - Certification and Proof-Carrying Code
- MODEL CHECKING: enumerate the states of the system and check that the transition system satisfies the property
 - explicit-state model checking (SPIN)
 - symbolic model checking (SMV)
- COMBINED METHODS:
 - static analysis (ASTREE)
 - predicate abstraction (SLAM, BLAST)

Approaches to Synthesis

TREE AUTOMATA:

- starting point: logical specification
- build word automaton from logic formula
- transform into tree automaton
- decide emptiness and build system from witness tree

CONTROL and GAME THEORY:

- starting point: incomplete/uncontrolled system with two types of freedom (system/environment choice) and an objective
- the uncontrolled system is given as a game
- controller/strategy tell how to achieve objective

Logic and Automata Connection

Given an automaton A, we build a logical formula φ_A whose set of models is exactly the language of the automaton.

Given a logical formula φ , we build an automaton A_{φ} that recognizes the set of all structures (models) in which φ holds.

Assuming that A_{φ} belongs to a well-behaved class of automata, we can tackle the following problems:

- ullet SATISFIABILITY: φ has a model if and only if A_{φ} is not empty
- ullet MODEL CHECKING: a given structure is a model of arphi if and only if it belongs to the language of A_{arphi}

Overview: Word and Tree Logics

	First Order Logic	\subset	Monadic Second Order Logic
finite words	LTL, Star Free, Aperiodic Sets		Finite Automata
infinite words	LTL, Star Free, Aperiodic Sets		Büchi, Rabin Automata
finite trees	*		Tree Automata
infinite trees	*		Rabin Automata, Games

Overview: Integer Logics

Presburger Arithmetic $\subset \langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle$

Semilinear Sets p-automata

(provided as additional material)

Preliminaries

Words

An *alphabet* is a <u>finite</u> non-empty set of symbols $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \ldots\}$.

A word of length n over Σ is a sequence $w = a_0 a_1 \dots a_{n-1}$, where $a_i \in \Sigma$, for all $0 \le i < n$. An infinite word is an infinite sequence of elements of Σ .

Equivalently, a word is a function $w:\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}\to \Sigma$. The *length* n of the word w is denoted by |w|. The *empty word* is denoted by ϵ , i.e. $|\epsilon|=0$. An infinite word is a function $w:\mathbb{N}\to \Sigma$.

 Σ^* (Σ^{ω}) is the set of all finite (infinite) words over Σ , and $\Sigma^{\infty} = \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^{\omega}$. We denote $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$.

The *concatenation* of two words w and u is denoted as wu. Note that $w \in \Sigma^*$, whereas $u \in \Sigma^\infty$. The *prefix* u of w is defined as $u \leq w$ iff there exists $v \in \Sigma^*$ such that uv = w.

Trees

A prefix-closed set $S \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is such that for all $w \in S$ and $u \in \Sigma^*$, $u \le w \Rightarrow u \in S$.

A prefix-free set $S \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is such that for all $u, v \in S$, $u \neq v \Rightarrow u \nleq v$ and $v \nleq u$.

A *tree* over Σ is a partial function $t: \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \Sigma$ such that dom(t) is a prefix-closed set.

The *children* of a tree node $w \in dom(t)$ are all nodes $wn \in dom(t)$, such that $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A tree t is said to be *finite-branching* iff for all $p \in dom(t)$, the number of children of p is finite. A tree t is said to be *finite* if dom(t) is finite.

Trees (contd)

A path π is a set of nodes from dom(t), such that:

- 1. the root belongs to the path i.e., $\epsilon \in \pi$,
- 2. for each node on the path exactly one of its children (if any) is on the path.

Lemma 1 (**König**) A finitely branching tree is infinite if and only if it has an infinite path.

Ranked Trees

A ranked alphabet $\langle \Sigma, \# \rangle$ is a set of symbols together with a function $\#: \Sigma \to \mathbb{N}$. For $f \in \Sigma$, the value #(f) is said to be the arity of f.

A ranked tree t over Σ is a partial function $t: \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \Sigma$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- dom(t) is a prefix-closed subset of \mathbb{N}^* , and
- for each $p \in dom(t)$, if #(t(p)) > 0 then $\{i \mid pi \in dom(t)\} = \{1, \dots, \#(t(p))\}.$

A symbol of arity zero is also called a *constant*. A finite tree over a ranked alphabet is also called a *term*.

First Order Logic

The *alphabet* of FOL consists of the following symbols:

- predicate symbols: $p_1, p_2, \ldots, =$
- function symbols: f_1, f_2, \dots
- constant symbols: c_1, c_2, \ldots
- first-order variables: x, y, z, ...
- connectives: $\lor, \land, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \neg, \bot, \forall, \exists$

The set of *first-order terms* is defined inductively:

- ullet any constant symbol c is a term,
- ullet any first-order variable x is a term,
- if t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n are terms and f is a function symbol of arity n > 0, then $f(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$ is a term,
- nothing else is a term.

A term with no variable is said to be a ground term.

The set of *first-order formulae* is defined inductively:

- \bullet \perp and \top are formulae,
- if t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n are terms and p is a predicate symbol of arity n > 0, then $p(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$ is a formula,
- if t_1, t_2 are terms, then $t_1 = t_2$ is a formula,
- if φ and ψ are formulae, then $\varphi \bullet \psi$, $\neg \varphi$, $\forall x \cdot \varphi$ and $\exists x \cdot \varphi$ are formulae, for $\bullet \in \{\lor, \land, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$,
- nothing else is a formula.

An atomic proposition is any formula $p(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ or $t_1 = t_2$, where p is a predicate symbol and t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n are terms.

The *language* of logic FOL is the set of formulae, denoted as $\mathcal{L}(FOL)$.

FOL Formulae

$$x = y$$

$$\forall x \forall y \ . \ x = y \leftrightarrow y = x$$

$$\exists x (\forall y \ . \ p(x,y)) \rightarrow q(x)$$

$$\forall x : p(x) \to q(f(x))$$

$$\forall x \exists y \ . \ f(x) = y \land (\forall z \ . \ f(z) = y \rightarrow z = x)$$

FOL Formulae

The *size* of a formula is the number of subformulae it contains, in other words, the number of nodes in the syntax tree representing the formula. The size of φ is denoted as $|\varphi|$.

The variables within the scope of a quantifier are said to be *bound*. The variables that are not bound are said to be *free*. We denote by $FV(\varphi)$ the set of free variables in φ . If $FV(\varphi) = \emptyset$ then φ is said to be a *sentence*.

Example 1
$$FV(\forall x : x = y \land x = z \rightarrow p(x)) = \{y, z\} \Box$$

If $x \in FV(\varphi)$, we denote by $\varphi[x/t]$ the formula obtained from φ by substituting x with the term t.

A *structure* is a tuple $\mathfrak{m} = \langle U, \bar{p_1}, \bar{p_2}, \dots, \bar{f_1}, \bar{f_2}, \dots \rangle$, where:

- *U* is a (possible infinite) set called the *universe*,
- $\bar{p_i} \subseteq U^{\#(p_i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ are the *predicates*,
- $\bar{f}_i: U^{\#(f_i)} \to U$, $i=1,2,\ldots$ are the functions,

The elements of the universe are called *individuals*, denoted by $\bar{c_1}, \bar{c_2}, \ldots$

NB: Every constant c from the alphabet of FOL has a corresponding individual \bar{c} , but not viceversa.

The symbol 0 has a corresponding number $\bar{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, and the function symbol s has a corresponding function $x \mapsto x+1$. The number $\bar{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ is denoted as s(0), the number $\bar{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ as s(s(0)), etc.

Let $\mathfrak{m} = \langle U, \bar{p_1}, \bar{p_2}, \dots, \bar{f_1}, \bar{f_2}, \dots \rangle$ be a *structure*.

The interpretation of variables is a function:

$$\iota: \{x, y, z, \ldots\} \to U$$

The interpretation function is extended to terms t, denoted as $\iota(t) \in U$:

$$\iota(c) = \bar{c}$$

$$\iota(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \bar{f}(\iota(t_1), \dots, \iota(t_n))$$

The meaning of a sentence φ in the structure \mathfrak{m} under the interpretation ι is denoted as $[\![\varphi]\!]_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} \in \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$:

$$\begin{split} & \llbracket \bot \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{false} \\ & \llbracket p(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}) \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{true} & \text{iff} & \langle \iota(t_{1}), \ldots, \iota(t_{n}) \rangle \in \bar{p} \\ & \llbracket t_{1} = t_{2} \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{true} & \text{iff} & \iota(t_{1}) = \iota(t_{2}) \\ & \llbracket \neg \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{true} & \text{iff} & \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} = & \text{false} \\ & \llbracket \varphi \wedge \psi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{true} & \text{iff} & \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} = & \text{true} \\ & \llbracket \exists x \; . \; \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{true} & & \text{iff} & \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota[x \leftarrow u]}^{\mathfrak{m}} = & \text{true} & & \text{for some} \; u \in U \end{split}$$

where $\iota[x \leftarrow u](y) = \iota(y)$ if $x \neq y$ and $\iota[x \leftarrow u](x) = u$.

Derived meanings:

Decision Problems

If $FV(\varphi) = \emptyset$ we denote the meaning of φ in \mathfrak{m} by $[\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathfrak{m}}$ (the choice of ι is irrelevant)

If $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{true we say that } \mathfrak{m} \text{ is a } \underline{model} \text{ of } \varphi$, denoted as $\mathfrak{m} \models \varphi$.

If $\mathfrak{m} \models \varphi$ for all structures \mathfrak{m} , we say that φ is *valid*, denoted as $\models \varphi$.

If φ has at least one model, we say that it is *satisfiable*.

Satisfiability: Given φ is it satisfiable?

Model Checking: Given \mathfrak{m} and φ , does $\mathfrak{m} \models \varphi$?

Examples

Let \leq be a binary predicate symbol, and $\mathfrak{m}=\langle U, \leq \rangle$ be a structure. \mathfrak{m} is a partially ordered set if $\mathfrak{m}\models \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$, where:

$$\varphi_1 : \forall x \forall y . x \leq y \land y \leq x \leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$\varphi_2 : \forall x \forall y \forall z . x \leq y \land y \leq z \rightarrow x \leq z$$

Notice that $\models \varphi_1 \rightarrow \forall x . x \leq x$.

 \mathfrak{m} is a linearly ordered set if $\mathfrak{m} \models \varphi_1 \land \varphi_2 \land \varphi_3$, where:

$$\varphi_3 : \forall x \forall y . x \leq y \lor y \leq x$$

Exercises

Exercise 1 Two problems P and Q are equivalent when a method for solving P is also a method for solving Q, and viceversa. Show that satisfiability and validity of first-order sentences are equivalent problems. \square

Exercise 2 Prove the validity of the following sentences:

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z . x = y \land y = z \rightarrow x = z$$

$$(\exists x . \varphi \lor \psi) \leftrightarrow ((\exists x . \varphi) \lor (\exists x . \psi))$$

$$(\forall x . \varphi \land \psi) \leftrightarrow ((\forall x . \varphi) \land (\forall x . \psi))$$

$$(\exists x . \varphi \land \psi) \rightarrow ((\exists x . \varphi) \land (\exists x . \psi))$$

$$\neg(((\exists x . \varphi) \land (\exists x . \psi)) \rightarrow (\exists x . \varphi \land \psi))$$

$$((\forall x . \varphi) \lor (\forall x . \psi)) \rightarrow (\forall x . \varphi \lor \psi)$$

$$\neg((\forall x . \varphi \lor \psi) \rightarrow ((\forall x . \varphi) \lor (\forall x . \psi)))$$

Normal Forms

A formula $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(FOL)$ is said to be *quantifier-free* iff it contains no quantifiers.

A quantifier-free formula $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(FOL)$ is said to be in *negation normal form* (NNF) iff the only subformulae appearing under negation are atomic propositions.

A formula $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(FOL)$ is said to be in *prenex normal form* (PNF) iff

$$\varphi = Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \dots Q_n x_n \cdot \psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

where $Q_i \in \{\exists, \forall\}$ and ψ is a quantifier-free formula. Sometimes ψ is said to be the *matrix* of φ .

Normal Forms

A quantifier-free formula $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(FOL)$ is said to be in *disjunctive normal* form (DNF) iff

$$\varphi = \bigvee_{i} \bigwedge_{j} \lambda_{ij}$$

where λ_{ij} are either atomic propositions or negations of atomic propositions.

A quantifier-free formula $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(FOL)$ is said to be in *conjunctive normal* form (CNF) iff

$$\varphi = \bigwedge_{i} \bigvee_{j} \lambda_{ij}$$

where λ_{ij} are either atomic propositions or negations of atomic propositions.

FOL on Finite Words

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b, \ldots\}$ be a finite alphabet and $w : \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \to \Sigma$ be a finite word, i.e. $w = a_0 a_1 \ldots a_{n-1} \in \Sigma^*$.

The structure corresponding to w is $\mathfrak{m}_w = \langle dom(w), \{\bar{p_a}\}_{a \in \Sigma}, \bar{\leq} \rangle$, where:

- $dom(w) = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\},\$
- $\bar{p_a} = \{x \in dom(w) \mid w(x) = a\}$,
- $x \leq y$ iff $x \leq y$.

$$\mathfrak{m}_{abbaab} = \langle \{0, \dots, 5\}, \ \bar{p}_a = \{0, 3, 4\}, \ \bar{p}_b = \{1, 2, 5\}, \ \bar{\leq} \rangle$$

Exercises

Exercise 3 Write a FOL formula S(x,y) which is valid for all positions $x,y\in\mathbb{N}$ such that y=x+1. \square

Exercise 4 Write a FOL sentence whose models are all words with a on even positions and b on odd positions. Next, (try to) write a FOL sentence whose models are all words with a on even positions. \square

Exercise 5 Write a FOL formula len(x) that is satisfied by all words of length x. \square

Exercise 6 Write a FOL sentence whose models are all finite words.

FOL on Infinite Words

Let $w: \mathbb{N} \to \Sigma$ be an infinite word.

The structure corresponding to w is $\mathfrak{m}_w = \langle \mathbb{N}, \{\bar{p_a}\}_{a \in \Sigma}, \bar{\leq} \rangle$.

$$\mathfrak{m}_{(ab)^{\omega}} = \langle \mathbb{N}, \bar{p_a} = \{2k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \bar{p_b} = \{2k+1 \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \bar{\leq} \rangle$$

FOL on Finite Trees

Let $\Sigma = \{f, g, \ldots\}$ be an alphabet and $t : \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \Sigma$ be a finite tree over Σ .

The structure corresponding to t is $\mathfrak{m}_t = \langle dom(t), \{\bar{p_f}\}_{f \in \Sigma}, \preceq, \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle$, where:

- $\bar{p_f} = \{p \in dom(t) \mid t(p) = f\},$
- $\bullet \leq \text{ is the prefix order on } \mathbb{N}^*$,
- $s_n(p) = \begin{cases} pn, & \text{if } pn \in dom(t) \\ p, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is the n-th successor.

Examples

$$\mathfrak{m}_{f(f(g,g),g)} = \langle \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01\}, \bar{p_f} = \{\epsilon, 0\}, \bar{p_g} = \{00, 01, 1\}, \bar{\leq}, \{s_0, s_1\} \rangle$$
:

- ullet $s_i(p)=pi$, for all $p\in\{\epsilon,0,1\}$ and $i\in\{0,1\}$,
- $s_0(00) = s_1(00) = 00$ and $s_0(01) = s_1(01) = 01$.

The *lexicographic order* on $\{0,1\}^*$ is defined as follows:

$$x \leq_{lex} y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x \leq y \vee \exists z . s_0(z) \prec x \wedge s_1(z) \prec y$$
, where $x \prec y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x \leq y \wedge \neg (x = y)$

Exercise 7 Write a formula that defines all nodes on a path of a binary tree.

Exercise 8 A red-black tree is a tree in which all nodes are either red or black, such that the root is black, and each red node has only black children. Write a FOL sentence whose models are all red-black trees. \square

FOL on Infinite Trees

Let $t: \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \Sigma$ be an infinite tree over Σ .

The structure corresponding to t is $\mathfrak{m}_t = \langle \mathbb{N}^*, \{\bar{p_f}\}_{f \in \Sigma}, \preceq, \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle$, where:

- $\bullet \ \bar{p_f} = \{ p \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid t(p) = f \},$
- \leq is the prefix order on \mathbb{N}^* ,
- $s_n(p) = pn$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is the n-th successor.

Exercise 9 Given a (possibly infinite) set $\mathcal{T} = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots\}$ of finite or infinite trees, of finite or infinite branching degrees, represent each tree $t_i \in \mathcal{T}$ as an infinite binary tree $\bar{t}_i : \{0,1\}^* \to \Sigma$. \square

Monadic Second Order Logic

The alphabet of MSOL consists of:

- all first-order symbols
- set variables: X, Y, Z, \dots

The set of MSOL terms consists of all first-order terms and set variables. The set of MSOL formulae consists of:

- all first-order formulae, i.e. $\mathcal{L}(FOL) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(MSOL)$,
- ullet if t is a term and X is a set variable, then X(t) is a formula,
- if φ and ψ are formulae, then $\varphi \bullet \psi$, $\neg \varphi$, $\forall x . \varphi$, $\exists x . \varphi$, $\forall X . \varphi$ and $\exists X . \varphi$ are formulae, for $\bullet \in \{\lor, \land, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$.

X(t) is sometimes written $t \in X$.

Examples

Universal set:

$$\forall x . X(x)$$

 $X \subseteq Y$:

$$\forall x : X(x) \to Y(x)$$

 $X \neq Y$:

$$\exists x . (X(x) \land \neg Y(x)) \lor (\neg X(x) \land Y(x))$$

 $X = \emptyset$:

$$\forall x . \neg X(x)$$

Singleton set:

$$\forall Y : ((\forall x : Y(x) \to X(x)) \land \exists x : X(x) \land \neg Y(x)) \to \forall x : \neg Y(x)$$

Let $\mathfrak{m} = \langle U, \bar{p_1}, \bar{p_2}, \dots, \bar{f_1}, \bar{f_2}, \dots \rangle$ be a *structure*.

The interpretation of variables is a function:

$$\iota: \{x, y, z, \ldots\} \cup \{X, Y, Z, \ldots\} \to U \cup 2^{U}$$

such that:

- $\iota(x) \in U$ for each individual variable x
- $\iota(X) \in 2^U$ for each set variable X

$$[\![\exists X \; . \; \varphi]\!]_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} \;\; = \;\; \mathrm{true} \quad \mathrm{iff} \quad [\![\varphi]\!]_{\iota[X \leftarrow S]}^{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathrm{true} \quad \mathrm{for \; some} \; S \subseteq U$$

MSOL Example

Example 2 The MSOL formula that characterizes all partitions $\langle X, Y \rangle$ of Z:

$$partition(X, Y, Z) : (\forall x \forall y . X(x) \land Y(y) \rightarrow \neg x = y) \land (\forall x . Z(x) \leftrightarrow X(x) \lor Y(x))$$

MSOL on Words: (W)S1S

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b, \ldots\}$ be a finite alphabet. The alphabet of the sequential calculus is composed of:

- the function symbol s denotes the successor,
- the set constants $\{p_a \mid a \in \Sigma\}$; p_a denotes the set of positions of a
- the first and second order variables and connectives.

(W)eak indicates that quantification is over finite sets only.

Exercise 10 Q: Let $\mathfrak{m}_{abbaab} = \langle \{0, \dots, 5\}, \bar{p_a} = \{0, 3, 4\}, \bar{p_b} = \{1, 2, 5\}, \bar{s} \rangle$ be a finite word. How much is $\bar{s}(5)$?

Examples

The order $x \leq y$ on positions is defined as:

- closed(X) : $\forall x . X(x) \rightarrow X(s(x))$
- $x \leq y : \forall X . X(x) \land closed(X) \rightarrow X(y)$

The set of positions of a word is defined by $pos(X): \forall x . X(x)$.

Examples

The first position is:

$$zero(x) : \forall y . x \leq y$$

The set of even positions is defined by

$$even(X)$$
 : $\exists z . zero(z) \land \exists Y, Z . pos(Z) \land partition(X, Y, Z) \land \\ \forall x, y . X(x) \land s(x) = y \rightarrow Y(y) \land \\ \forall x, y . Y(x) \land s(x) = y \rightarrow Y(x) \land X(z)$

The set of all words having a's on even positions is the set of models of the sentence:

$$\exists X : even(X) \land \forall x : X(x) \rightarrow p_a(x)$$

Exercise

Exercise 11 Write a S1S formula whose models are exactly all infinite words starting with an even number of 0's followed by an infinite number of 1's. \square

MSOL on Trees: (W)SkS

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b, \ldots\}$ be a tree alphabet. The alphabet of (W)S ω S is:

- the function symbols $\{s_i \mid i=1,\ldots,k\}$, where $s_i(x)$ denotes the *i*-th successor of x; if we allow $\{s_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, the logic is called (W)S ω S,
- the set constants $\{p_a \mid a \in \Sigma\}$; p_a denotes the set of positions of a
- the first and second order variables and connectives.

In FOL on trees we had \leq (prefix) instead of s_i . Why?

Examples

Let us consider binary trees, i.e. the alphabet of S2S.

- The formula $closed(X): \forall x: X(x) \to X(s_0(x)) \land X(s_1(x))$ denotes the fact that X is a downward-closed set.
- The prefix ordering on tree positions is defined by $x \leq y : \forall X . closed(X) \land X(x) \rightarrow X(y).$
- The root of a tree is defined by root(x) : $\forall y$. $x \leq y$.

Exercise

Exercise 12 Define the set of binary trees $t: \{0,1\}^* \to \{a,b\}$ such that t(p) = a if p is of even length. \square

Exercise 13 Write a S2S formula path(X) that defines the set of all paths in a binary tree. \Box

Exercise 14 Write a S2S sentence whose models are all finite trees. \square